

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14479 of 2015
Date of Decision: 24.08.2015

Raj Pal

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Revenue, Haryana, Chandigarh and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Ms.Geeta Singhwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioner is aggrieved against the orders passed by the Deputy Commissioner/Collector, Jhajjar dated 13.12.2011, the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak dated 30.10.2014 and the Financial Commissioner, Haryana dated 31.3.2015, which are consistent in appointment of respondent No.4 as the Lambardar.

In short, the post of the Lambardar became available on the death of earlier Lambardar, namely, Partap Singh son of Baljit Singh on 28.4.2005. The AC IInd Grade, Jhajjar recommended the name of the petitioner whereas, AC 1st Grade, Jhajjar recommended the name of respondent No.4 to the Collector, who after considering the said reports remanded the case back to the AC Ist Grade, Jhajjar to reconsider the matter and make his recommendation again. The AC 1st Grade, Jhajjar again recommended the name of respondent No.4 to the Collector, who vide his impugned order dated

13.12.2011 appointed respondent No.4 as the Lambardar. This order has been upheld in appeal and revision thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has challenged the impugned orders while referring to the merits and demerits of both the candidates again.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the considered opinion that the choice of the Collector, which has been accepted by the higher authorities can only be upset if there is any perversity in his order, which is conspicuously absent in the present case.

Thus, in view thereof, I do not find any merit in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

24.08.2015

Vivek

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**