

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14419 of 2015
Date of decision: 21.07.2015

Sat Paul

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondent-department to issue the letter of appointment to the petitioner for the post of Sanskrit Master since his name was duly recommended by the Chairman, Departmental Selection Committee to the Director Public Instruction (Secondary Education) Punjab, vide letter dated 10.05.2012 (Annexure P10). It is maintained that the petitioner had competed for selection in the reserved category of Backward Class (Male) and had obtained 62.78 marks as against the cut of marks prescribed by the department i.e. 62.312. And the report submitted by the Chairman, Departmental Selection Committee on 10.05.2012, reveals that the petitioner was the only candidate, who had applied in the category of BC(Male) for the post of Sanskrit Master. That

being so, he was fully entitled to be issued the order of appointment. In fact, prior to the institution of this petition, respondents were even served upon a legal notice dated 13.10.2014 (Annexure P12) in this regard. But to no avail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the notice (Annexure P12), served by the petitioner, within a specified time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merit, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in his legal notice dated 13.10.2014 (Annexure P12), strictly in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

July 21, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge