

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14390 of 2015

Date of Decision:20.07.2015

Gobind Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI.

**Present: Mr.Krishan Kumar Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

- 1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?**

ARUN PALLI, J.(oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondents to consider and grant deemed date of enlistment to the petitioner as Constable w.e.f. the date the SPOs, who happened to be junior to him, were enlisted as such in terms of the instructions dated 02.07.1999. The petitioner was appointed as SPO on 29.05.1992 with SPO No.131/Faridkot. Later, pursuant to the instructions issued by the Govt. of Punjab and the Director General of Police, Punjab, from time to time, the petitioner was appointed as Constable on 29.12.1997. However, the grievance being expressed in this petition is that although, in terms of instructions dated 02.07.1999 issued by the Director General of Police to all the Senior Superintendents of Police in the State for

absorption of SPOs from the deemed date, SPOs who were inducted as Constables subsequent to the petitioner were granted deemed date of appointment i.e. w.e.f. the date persons junior to them were absorbed as Constables. However, the said benefit has not been extended to the petitioner, particularly when, similar benefit has been granted to many those who were identically placed as the petitioner. So much so, prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner purports to have served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 14.05.2015(Annexure P-8). But with no tangible result.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice(Annexure P-8), served by the petitioner, within a specified time.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merit, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in his legal notice dated 14.05.2015(Annexure P-8), strictly, in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

July 20, 2015
seema

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE