

CWP No.14273 of 2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14273 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 17, 2015

Rajender Parshad

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was allotted ten different works in the year 2011 mentioned in para 3 of the writ petition, out of which nine works were completed in the year 2011 and the 10th work was completed in the year 2012. However, FIR No.13 dated 10.01.2012 was registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak, against some officials of Municipal Corporation, because of which the amount due to the petitioner was not released. However, now a cancellation report has been duly submitted in the Court, therefore, as of now there is no impediment for release of the amount to the petitioner. The amount due to the petitioner comes to ₹1,81,44,000/-. Petitioner served a notice through counsel upon the respondents dated 10.02.2015 (Annexure P-11) which till date has not been responded to.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Commissioner,

CWP No.14273 of 2015

Municipal Corporation, Rohtak-respondent No.3 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 10.02.2015 (Annexure P-11) within some specified time.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, with directions to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Rohtak-respondent No.3 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 10.02.2015 (Annexure P-11) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In case, the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits be released to him, in accordance with law, within a further period of six weeks. The decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

July 17, 2015

Harish

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**