

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20628 of 2012

Date of Decision:- 17.08.2015.

Khushpal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Viney Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

The prayer in this petition does not survive for the reasons that during the pendency of this writ petition, petitioner was subjected to departmental inquiry and it was ended in imposing the penalty. So also the Appellate Authority confirmed the decision of the disciplinary Authority on 2.7.2015 vide Annexure-P7.

Accordingly, writ petition do not survive for consideration and the same is disposed of. However, liberty is given to the petitioner to question the outcome of the departmental inquiry.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

August 17, 2015.

sandeep sethi