

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17480 of 2013

Date of Decision:.12.08.2015

Vikrant & ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ashwani Bakshi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana,
for the State.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Vaibhav Sahni, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for the following
reliefs:-

*i)a writ in the nature of mandamus directing
the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to make ensure and pay the*

unpaid salary of the petitioners for the period from April 2012 till date with interest and to the respondents No.3 & 4 to take necessary legal action against the respondents No.5 to 7 for violating the provisions of various labour laws may kindly be issued;

ii) further, a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the State of Haryana to discontinue with/abolish the system of engaging Computer Teachers, Lab Attendants etc. in the Government Schools of the State through Private Companies and instead to engage them directly to avoid their exploitation, harassment at the hands of the private companies/contractors in blatant violation of labour laws and to ensure better, proper and quality education to the student in these schools may kindly also be issued;

Qua the second relief counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the petition with liberty to approach the appropriate authorities under the labour laws.

Dismissed as withdrawn qua this issue with liberty as prayed for.

So far as first prayer with regard to unpaid salaries is

concerned, counsel for the petitioners states that the salary of the petitioners from September 2012 onwards has been paid to them. However, payment of salaries from April 2012 till August 2012 still remains due.

For the unpaid salaries for April 2012 till August 2012, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition with liberty to make a representation in this regard to the respondent No.2.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

If any such representation liberty for which has been sought above is made, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the same by passing a well reasoned and speaking order expeditiously, but not later than four months from the date of receipt of such representation.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

12.08.2015
rimpai