

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP (PIL)No.23058 of 2011

Date of Decision:-30.06.2015

H.C. Arora

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU.**

**Present:- Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate
Petitioner in person.**

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, which has been filed as a Public Interest Litigation, the petitioner a practising Advocate of this Court, has challenged paras 4 to 8 and 8 to 10 of the Circular dated 6.3.2000 (Annexure P-1) issued by the Government of Punjab, Department of Vigilance.

Undisputedly, the aforesaid circular was earlier challenged in CWP No.9256 of 2002 (Ashok Chaudhary Versus State of Punjab). The said writ petition was dismissed by this Court vide judgment dated 15.5.2006 and the circular was upheld. Against the said decision a SLP (Veena Kumari Versus State of Punjab), which was later on converted in Civil Appeal No.5166 of 2010, was filed, and the same is pending before the Supreme Court.

Today the State of Punjab has filed short affidavit of Joint Secretary Vigilance on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 stating therein that in the aforesaid Appeal No.5166 of 2010, State of Punjab has filed an affidavit to the effect that the provisions of circular dated 6.3.2000 which was stated to be violative of the Criminal Procedure Code, shall not be implemented during the pendency of the appeal.

In view of the said affidavit, counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner does not want to press this petition.

Ordered accordingly.

**(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

30.06.2015
reema

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**