

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 14868 of 2014
Decided on : 06.04.2015**

Jagmal Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

PRESENT: Mr. Naveen Kumar Jaglan, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Nara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Kulbhushan Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This Court on July 31, 2014, had issued notice of motion with regard to the allegations made against respondent No.4, for 14.10.2014 and directed to maintain *status quo* at the site.

2. Reply on behalf of respondent No.4 has been filed, where in para No.5 of the reply, it has been stated that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and the writ petition has been rendered infructuous. This fact has not been disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Disposed of as infructuous.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

April 06, 2015

J.Ram