

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.14112 of 2015
Date of Decision : 16.07.2015**

Surjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed on the ground that despite Sanad Taqsim having been issued the same has not been given effect to.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a representation dated 23.06.2015 (Annexure P-4) to the official respondents and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.3 to look into the matter and decide his representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.3 is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-4) and take whatever action he/she deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified

copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 16, 2015

sanjiv

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**