

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

137

Civil Writ Petition No.14067 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 16, 2015

Anil Kumar Sharma son of Sh. Sham Sarup Sharma resident of village and post office Janouri, District Hoshiarpur (Pb.)

...Petitioner

Versus

Executive Engineer, Mandi Board Punjab Construction and Civil Division, near Sabji Mandi Kapurthala, District Kapurthala and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sanjay Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that three different works were allotted to the petitioner, details of which have been mentioned in para 2 of the writ petition, in the month of May, 2012, which were duly executed and completed. Some payments, against the works allotted, have been released but the balance amount due to the petitioner is about ₹1,15,000/- qua all the three works. The petitioner has been approaching the respondents for release of the said amount but without any result, which has forced the petitioner to serve a notice through counsel dated 27.05.2015 (Annexure P-1) upon the Executive Engineer, Mandi Board Punjab Construction and Civil Division, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala-respondent No.1. No response to the same has been received by the petitioner till date.

Counsel contends that the petitioner at this stage would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 27.05.2015 (Annexure P-1) within

some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Executive Engineer, Mandi Board Punjab Construction and Civil Division, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala-respondent No.1, to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 27.05.2015 (Annexure P-1), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to him, in accordance with law, within a further period of six weeks. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

July 16, 2015

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**