

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.14034 of 2015
Date of Decision : 16.07.2015**

Vijay Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

UHBVNL and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. K.L Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is claiming 2nd ACP scale.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a representation dated 04.02.2015 (Annexures P-4) to the official respondents and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide his representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.4 is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-4) and take whatever action he/she deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a

certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found entitled to any relief claimed the same be released to him within the aforesaid period, failing which, he would be entitled to claim the same along with interest @ 8% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date/s of payment/s.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 16, 2015

sanjiv

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**