

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 14020 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 16, 2015

M/s Anil Kumar Contractors

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Satish Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

The present writ petition primarily appears to be because of non-payment made to the petitioner which is part execution of the contract. On a legal notice served by the petitioner, response has been received by the petitioner dated 29.06.2015 (Annexure P-8) which indicates that funds are not available for release of the amount. That being so, it primarily appears to be a dispute with regard to the recovery of the amount due for which remedy available to the petitioner is by way of filing of civil suit. He may avail of the said remedy.

Disposed of with above observations.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

July 16, 2015
Harish