

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

**Civil Writ Petition No.14001 of 2015
Date of Decision:20.07.2015**

Sharanjit Singhpetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Notice of motion.

Mr.H.S.Sethi, Additional Advocate General Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied. In the wake of an order, I intend to pass in this petition, no formal written statement by the State, is necessary, at this stage.

A writ in the nature of certiorari is prayed for, so as to set aside the order dated 14.03.2014 (Annexure P2) passed by respondent No.2, vide which the claim of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds has since been rejected.

An analysis of the order dated 14.03.2014 (Annexure P2) reveals that the only reason that has been assigned in support

thereof is:

“The applications of the above candidates have been considered in this office and filed as these not covered under policy as a special case.”

Ex facie, the order is cryptic and bereft of any reason.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mahesh Kumar, Junior Assistant, office of DGP Punjab, submits that the order dated 14.03.2014 (Annexure P2) is withdrawn qua the petitioner. And the claim of the petitioner shall be considered afresh and appropriate orders, in this regard, shall be passed within a period of three months from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petition is rendered infructuous and be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

20.07.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**