

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.17218 of 2013(O&M)

Date of Decision:-05.05.2015

Manjit Kaur

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

Mr. Babbar Bhan, Advocate for respondent no.7.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner was Sarpanch on 5 years tenure w.e.f. June 2005 till 2010 for village Mehra, Tehsil Charkhi Dadri, District Bhiwani. She is seeking quashing of communication dated 26.07.2013 (P-24) to conduct a enquiry into a complaint against the Petitioner-Sarpanch forwarded by the office of Lokayukt, Haryana. Further a direction to restrain the official respondents to conduct repeated enquiries on similar complaints filed by the complainant Ram Mehar-respondent no.7.

In sum and short the grievance of the petitioner is that respondent no.7 has made repeated complaints against the petitioner before the authorities under the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act regarding embezzling of Panchayat funds, Widow Pension Fund, Handicap Person Funds etc.,

starting from the year 2009.

It is claimed that repeated enquiries regarding such repeated complaints have been conducted and ultimately dropped. It is further submitted that regarding similar complaints two FIRs with similar allegations of embezzlement have been got registered i.e. One lodged by the complainant and the other by the BDPO concerned. It is thus prayed that she should not be repeatedly harassed by conduct of such enquiries.

Learned Counsel for the State submits that as of now out of the pending seven enquiries four have been dropped and regarding the remaining three appropriate action on conclusion would be taken in accordance with law.

After hearing learned Counsel for the parties and keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, no further adjudication is required in the present writ petition more so at the instance of a person against whom FIRs have been lodged qua serious allegations of embezzlement.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present writ petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

May 05, 2015
Vinay