

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 13990 of 2015
Date of decision: 28.8.2015

Mukhtiar Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.J.S.Virk, Advocate, for
Mr.Manish Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner

Mr.Anil Sharma, Addl.AG, Punjab

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has produced in Court today a copy of the order (in Gurmukhi), dated 21.8.2015, by which the transfer of the petitioner as Inspector, Municipal Council, Majitha, vide the impugned order dated 30.6.2015, has been cancelled.

Though the petition has otherwise been rendered infructuous, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has specifically given an undertaking to this Court on 15.7.2015, that he would not claim any extension in his service period beyond the date of superannuation, i.e. 31.10.2015. The implication is in relation to the fact that as the impugned transfer order was passed within his last year of his service, it deserves to be set aside. Hence, he may be held to his undertaking.

Accordingly, while disposing of the petition as having been rendered infructuous, the petitioner is held bound by the undertaking that he gave to this Court on 15.7.2015.

28.8.2015
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE