

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No. 13972 of 2015. [O&M]
Date of Decision: 28th September, 2015.

Sumer Singh Sangwan
Versus
Petitioner through
Mr. R.S.Panghal, Advocate

State of Haryana & Ors. Respondents through
Mr. Anil Mehta, Deputy AG, Haryana.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

The controversy in this case pertains to reservation of Ward No. 25 of Zila Parishad, Bhiwani for general category woman.

While the petitioner's contention is that previously also this ward was reserved for woman of general category and following the principle of rotation it can not be reserved again, the respondents have clarified in their written statement that reservation of Ward No. 25 has been done by draw of lots because of new *Wardbandi* where rotation system was inapplicable.

In view of the fact that the total wards have increased from 29 to 30 and the areas of different wards have been partly reshuffled, there appears to be some merit that the principle of 'rotation' was not attracted. It is not a case where the petitioner's family is deprived of contesting the elections. No case to interfere is thus made out.

Dismissed.

(**SURYA KANT**)
JUDGE

September 28, 2015.
dinesh

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE