

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.13940 of 2015

Date of Decision : 15.07.2015

Smt. Mahender Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the impugned order dated 13.04.2015 passed by Respondent No.3 terminating the services of the petitioner from the post of Aanganwari Helper.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a written representation (Annexure P-6) to the official respondents and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide his representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-6) and take whatever

action he/she deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 15, 2015

sanjiv

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**