

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

CWP No. 13925 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: July 15, 2015

Pooja

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. P.S.Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was duly selected for the post of Hindi Mistress and given appointment on contract basis but thereafter as per the Punjab Government Instructions dated 18.07.2012, she is entitled to regularization of her services. The claim of the petitioner for regularization has been duly recommended by District Education Officer, Patiala, to the Director, Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab, which is still pending consideration.

Counsel contends that the formalities with regard to the regularization of the services have been completed, however, till date the order regularizing the services of the petitioner, has not been passed, although similarly placed employees have been issued the appointment letter on regular basis on their regularization. Petitioner has served a notice

through counsel dated 12.03.2015 (Annexure P-8) upon respondents 1 and 2 but no response thereto till date has been received.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondents 1 and 2, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as has been projected in the legal notice 12.03.2015 (Annexure P-8) within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Principal Secretary, Department of School Education, Punjab and Director, Department of Education (SE), Vidya Bhawan, Sector 62, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali- respondents 1 and 2 respectively, to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 12.03.2015 (Annexure P-8), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the order regularizing the services of the petitioner be passed forthwith. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

July 15, 2015

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**