

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

131

**Civil Writ Petition No.13862 of 2015
Date of Decision:14.07.2015**

Gurvinder Kaur

....petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.P.S.Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct the respondents to afford the benefit of 3rd progression on completion of 14 years of service w.e.f.14.02.2011, to the petitioner along with all consequential benefits.

Petitioner was appointed as SS Mistress, in the year 1997. She was duly afforded the benefit, on completion of 4 and 9 years of service, under the Assured Career Progression Scheme (for short 'the Scheme') in the year 2001 and 2006, respectively. Petitioner purports to be entitled to the benefit of 3rd progression under the Scheme on completion of 14 years of service as SS Mistress on 14.02.2011. And claim of the petitioner, in this regard was purportedly forwarded by the Principal, Government Senior Secondary School, Timmowal, Amritsar. The recommendations of

the Drawing and Disbursing Officer, as regards entitlement of the petitioner for the benefit of 3rd progression were also submitted in the form of report to the higher authorities i.e.respondent No.2. But with no tangible result.

It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, the respondents are even alleged to have been served upon a legal notice dated 19.09.2014 (Annexure P6) but that too has failed to evoke any response. And the claim of the petitioner continues to await consideration of the authorities.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of, only, with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P6) served by the petitioner, within a specified time.

That being so, and, without expressing any opinion on merits, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 and 2, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as set out in the representation dated 19.09.2014 (Annexure P6), strictly, in accordance with law, within a period of three months, from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons, in support of the decision arrived at.

14.07.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE