

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13841 of 2015
Date of decision: 27.07.2015

Gurinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. PS Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that the claim of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds was considered and formally rejected vide order dated 26.09.2011 (Annexure P2). And all what has been assailed in the petition in hand are the subsequent orders dated 02.08.2013 (Annexure P6), dated 27.03.2014 (Annexure P7) and dated 21.11.2014 (Annexure P8), vide which he had simply prayed for re-consideration of his claim.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to file afresh with better particulars and details.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for. However, the issue of delay/laches is kept open to be considered in an appropriate proceeding.

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

July 27, 2015

Rajan

