

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.13801 of 2015

Date of Decision : 14.07.2015

Virender Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to grant the benefit of instructions dated 25.02.2015 whereby the age of retirement of physically handicapped government employees was increased from 58 years to 60 years.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a representation dated 18.03.2015 (Annexure P-4) to the official respondents and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide his representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-4) and take whatever action he/she deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found entitled the relief be granted to him within the aforesaid period. It is made clear that if any due relief is not granted to him the same would be at the cost and risk of the respondents.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 14, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**