

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.13767 of 2015

Date of Decision : 13.07.2015

Ram Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is claiming certain retiral benefits.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a Legal Notice dated 03.11.2014 (Annexure P-4) to the official respondents and at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide his legal notice within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter/legal notice (Annexure P-4) and take whatever action

he/she deems necessary as per law by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found entitled to any claim the same be released to him within a period of one month thereafter, failing which, he would be entitled to claim the same along with interest @ 8% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date/s of payment/s.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 13, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**