

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13748 of 2015

Date of Decision: 13.7.2015

Renu Sharma

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL.**

PRESENT: Mr. M.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. The petitioner through the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to sanction the site plan of the residential plot allotted to the petitioner in Sector -1 (Part-I), Narnaul.

2. The petitioner applied for allotment of a 4-Marla plot in Sector-1, Part I, Narnaul before respondent No.2 vide application dated 16.11.2002 (Annexure P-1) along with cash amount of ₹ 12,420/-. Respondent No.4 allotted a residential plot No. 1018 to her vide allotment letter dated 15.7.2013 (Annexure P-2). Possession certificate dated 24.3.2009 (Annexure P-3) qua the said plot had already been issued to the petitioner. The Executive Engineer, HUDA Division, Rewari had also recommended the approval of the building plan vide letter dated 29.11.2011 (Annexure P-4). The petitioner had deposited all the

installments of the plot in question. According to the petitioner, the site plan of the plot in dispute has not been sanctioned by the respondents. She served a legal notice dated 13.8.2012 (Annexure P-5) upon the respondents for sanction of site plan of the plot in question, but no response has been received till date. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that for the relief claimed in the writ petition, the petitioner has sent a legal notice dated 13.8.2012 (Annexure P-5) to the respondents, but no action has so far been taken thereon.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by directing respondent No.4 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 13.8.2012 (Annexure P-5), in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

July 13, 2015
gbs

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE