

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13679 of 2015.

Decided on:-26.11.2015.

Kishan Lal.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.***

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Mehta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Vivek Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Gram Panchayat.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The short grievance of the petitioner in this case is that the Gram Panchayat is illegally changing the nature of common purpose for which the land in dispute is reserved, namely, the *Gair Mumkin Johar* (pond) of the village is sought to be diverted to for some other common purpose.

2. The Deputy Commissioner, Panipat has filed the reply on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and as per the averments made in para No.2 of the

preliminary submissions, Khasra Nos.192, 193 and 194 are recorded as *Gair Mumkin Johar* in the revenue record and the said Johar is being used by all the inhabitants of the village. He has further averred that no person has any right to make an encroachment on the public property and that “the Gram Panchayat is not going to change the nature of the Johar in any manner”.

3. In view of the above-stated categorical stand taken by the Deputy Commissioner and his assurance that no one shall be allowed to change the nature of the land, we are of the considered view that no further directions are required to be issued in the writ petition, which is disposed of as infructuous.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

November 26, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE