

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

130

CWP No.13640 of 2015

Date of Decision:10.07.2015

Sukhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

**Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal
Ludhiana and another**

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI.

**Present: Ms.Raminder Partap Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

- 1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

AJAY TEWARI, J.(oral)

The petitioner joined as a Telephone Operator(Group II) on 25.03.1999 with respondent No.2. Later on, he was appointed as Assistant Manager Trainee Group-II on 01.08.2007. On 01.04.2010, he tendered his resignation from the said job. Thereafter, he withdrew his gratuity and provident-fund etc. He sought the instant reference claiming that he had not resigned but his services were terminated. Before the Tribunal, he accepted that he had indeed resigned but changed his stand to claim that his resignation was forced upon him by family circumstances. The Tribunal held that having resigned and taken all his monetary benefits and having waited for two years and then by making the claim of termination and,

thereafter, accepting that he had resigned from his job, the petitioner was not entitled for any relief.

In view of the facts mentioned above, I find no reason to take a view different from the Tribunal.

Petition stands dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 10, 2015
seema

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE