

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

119

CWP No.13615 of 2015

Date of Decision:10.07.2015

Veena Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI.

**Present: Mr.Johan Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

- 1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

AJAY TEWARI, J.(oral)

By this petition the petitioner has claimed regularization in terms of the Government policy dated 18.06.2014 (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel states that in this regard the petitioner has also moved a legal notice dated 02.04.2015(Annexure P-13) and that she would be satisfied at this stage if in the first instance a direction is issued to the respondent No.4 to decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. Resultantly, the respondent No.4 is directed to decide the said notice by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

It is, however, made clear that till the decision of the legal notice(Annexure P-13), the respondents would not proceed further to replace the petitioner from his post as per advertisement(Annexure P-14).

July 10, 2015
seema

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE