

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015-01-29 12:26

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1359 of 2015
Date of Decision.28.01.2015**

Kuldip SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner will have no remedy in the manner sought through a writ petition that the cow dung must be removed from the street. If it is an act of nuisance committed by private individuals, the remedy of the petitioner will be to file an appropriate suit giving evidence of nuisance and then seek for its abatement. If it is an action against the public authority, the petitioner will still have a remedy under Section 133 Cr.P.C for effective redressal before the jurisdictional Executive Magistrate.

2. The writ petition under Section 226 of the Constitution is not appropriate and exigent. The writ petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**January 28, 2015
Pankaj***