

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 16788 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision : July 06, 2015

Julfkar Ali,

..... Petitioner

v.

Union of India and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Kashmira Singh, Advocate
for Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. SK Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Counsel for the respondents states that in the writ petition, the claim is for finalization of the seniority list. As per him, seniority has now been finalized and the said seniority list is placed on record as Annexure R1, and that in this view of the matter, this writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner states that he needs some time to verify whether this seniority list reflects the correct position.

In my opinion, if the petitioner has any grouse against this

seniority list, it would give him a fresh cause of action but the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

July 06, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE