

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

KUMAR MANOJ
2015.07.13 10:12
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**CWP No.13562 of 2015
Date of decision : 09.07.2015**

Brij Bhushan

..... Petitioner

versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and Ors. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Bakshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel states that in an appeal filed against the dismissal order, the Appellate Authority directed the Punishing Authority to pass a speaking order within a period of one month but despite a lapse of almost three years, speaking order having not been passed, the petitioner was constrained to move a legal notice dated 18.05.2015 (P.4). Learned counsel further states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide the said legal notice immediately.

I find this to be a fair request.

In the circumstances this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the legal notice (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order with regard to punishment of the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon.

**July 09, 2015
manoj**

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**