IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14246 of 2014
Date of decision: 27.08.2015

Jaswinder Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Suresh Singla, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

*****

PARAMJEET SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Replies on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4, filed in Court today, are taken on record.

Instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for directing respondents No.3 and 4 to remove the seal/lock affixed on the only residential house of the petitioners.

In the reply filed by respondents No.1 and 2 it is categorically mentioned that house of the petitioners was never locked by them. They have also made reference to the photographs placed on record as Annexure R-3. Respondents No.3 and 4 have also filed their replies on the same footing.

RAVINDER SINGH
2015.08.31 09:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

In view of the reply of the respondents, present writ petition has been rendered infructuous. However, it is made clear that if any of the petitioners is found involved in any case, petitioners are required to be issued proper notice and shall not be harassed unnecessarily.

Disposed of.

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge

August 27, 2015
R.S.

RAVINDER SINGH
2015.08.31 09:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document