

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Writ Petition No. 13476 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION : July 09, 2015

Karamjeet Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Punjab & anr.

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. P.K. Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

...

1. Whether Reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment? YES/NO
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? YES/NO
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? YES/NO

...

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Shri Anshul Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Requisite number of copies of the paper book have been supplied to him.

In the wake of an order I intend to pass in the matter

in hand, no formal response by the State is necessary at this stage.

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, so as to direct respondent No.2 to supply the copies of mutation orders that had been applied for by the petitioner on 09.09.2014 and have not been supplied so far. Though a considerable time has elapsed. It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, petitioner had even represented to respondent No.2 vide a representation dated 24.12.2014 (Annexure P-3), as regards his grievance, but to no avail.

Records show that the petitioner had applied the copies of mutation Nos.1535, 1530, 1510, 1536 and 1526, at Suwidha Centre, District Administrative Complex, Ferozepur, through Baljit Singh, Clerk of his counsel; namely, Tara Singh Gill, as he required those documents to be produced in Court. Copy of the application and receipts issued by Suwidha Centre, Ferozepur, are appended as Annexure P-2 (Colly). Despite the fact that almost a year has elapsed, the S.K. Branch has failed to deliver the copies.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of only with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider his application dated 24.12.2014 (Annexure P-3) within a specified time and pass appropriate order.

Prayer is not opposed by learned State counsel. He submits that a direction be issued to respondent No.2 to consider the application of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) and the

same shall be decided within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on any aspect, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner as set out in application dated 24.12.2014 (Annexure P-3), strictly in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

JULY 09, 2015
Kang

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE