

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13446 of 2015
Date of decision : July 8, 2015

Ex-Constable Lekh Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CWP No.13447 of 2015

Ex-EASI Mohd.Ali

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. R. K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Tejpal Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The prayer in the present writ petition is for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to decide the revision petition dated 25.3.2013 (Annexure P-3).

Mr. R. K. Malik, Senior Advocate submits that as per the information obtained under RTI Act, 2005 the revision petition is still pending.

It is strange that for a period of almost two years the

revision petition is pending and the respondents are not taking any action thereon.

Learned Senior counsel submits that his client would be satisfied, in case a appropriate direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation filed against the appeal dated 28.12.2012 as expeditiously as possible within a reasonable period.

The prayer of the learned Senior counsel is fair, legal and justified.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the revision petition (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate decision in accordance with law after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

July 8, 2015
archana