

CWP-16662-2013

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16662-2013

Date of Decision: 06.10.2015

Punjab State Power Corporation, Patiala and others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Sub Divisional Magistrate, Gurdaspur and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Ms. Meena Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Suresh Singla, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

Mr. S.S.Gurna, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the order dated 05.10.2012 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.1 whereby appeal filed by respondent No.2 has been allowed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Respondent No.2 was granted temporary electric connection

CWP-16662-2013

for paddy season vide service connection order No.029/701758 and account No.T4-88 was also allotted to the aforesaid connection. The disconnection was carried out on 06.12.2011 and at the time of disconnection, the reading was noted down as 4818 units as shown in Annexure P-4. Thereafter, notice in the shape of bill (Annexure P-5) was issued wherein it is recorded that old reading was 288 units and new reading was 4818 units and it is shown that respondent No.2 has consumed 4530 units. On the basis of the aforesaid reading, amount has been calculated. Even, the Appellate Authority has passed the impugned order with a direction to the petitioners to charge the actual consumption charges from respondent No.2. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioners and respondent No.2 are public authorities, it would be appropriate to direct that respondent No.2 shall make the payment of bill as detailed in bill/notice (Annexure P-5) within two months from today, then no extra amount will be paid by it. If respondent No.2 fails to make the payment as detailed in bill/notice (Annexure P-5) within the stipulated period of two months, the petitioners will be at liberty to proceed against it in accordance with law.

Disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

06.10.2015
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge