

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

123

Civil Writ Petition No.13411 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 08, 2015

Dharmo Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Baldev Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners lost their sole bread winner late Shri Karambir Singh on 11.08.2010 due to electrocution while working in the field, who came in contact with the live wires lying in the field, which had snapped from the pole because of the negligence and carelessness of respondents 3 to 5. Petitioners have served a notice through counsel dated 08.07.2014 (Annexure P-3) praying for grant of compensation and in support of their claim, they have placed reliance upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as ***Raman Versus Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others, 2015(1) R.C.R. (Civil) 353; M.P. Electricity Board Versus Shail Kumari 2002 (2) Criminal Court Cases 80*** and the judgment of Division Bench of this Court titled as ***Paramjit Kaur and others Versus State of Punjab and others 2008 (4) Civil Court Cases 511***, but no response to the said legal notice till date has been received by the petitioners.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners at this stage

would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 08.07.2014 (Annexure P-3) within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Managing Director, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Panchkula-respondent No.3 within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioners is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to them, in accordance with law, within a further period of 45 days. In case the claim of the petitioners is not to be accepted, a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to them forthwith.

July 08, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE