

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No.13393 of 2015
Date of decision : July 8, 2015

Punjab Mandi Board, Amritsar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Daya Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The Management has approached this Court by challenging the direction contained in the award dated 2.3.2015. The direction contained in the award reads thus:-

“The managements are directed to consider within three months of the publication of the award as per law laid down by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in Civil Writ Petition No. 219 of 2003 titled as Puran Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (supra), whether Daya Singh workman is entitled to proficiency step up increments of eight years and sixteen years and whether he is also entitled to house rent along with interest. Reader of this Tribunal is directed to forward three copies of this Award to Assistant

Labour Commissioner/Labour-cum-REconciliation Officer, Amritsar, as required under section 15 of the I. D. Act read with Notification No. S.O.66/C.A.14/1947/S.17/2008 dated 1.10.2008. File be consigned to record room.”

Nothing prevented the Management in considering the case of the workman and pass a speaking order. Till date no such action has been deliberated upon. The writ petition is not maintainable. However, the Management is well within its rights to consider the case and pass an order in accordance with law.

The award of the Labour Court does not contain any direction that the workman is entitled for a relief.

With the aforementioned observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

July 8, 2015
archana