

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 13925 of 2014
Date of decision: November 03,2015**

Lal Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr . B.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 2.
Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Written statement on behalf of respondent No.3 filed in Court is taken on record.

By filing the instant writ petition, the petitioner has sought direction to respondent No.2 to grant sanction to prosecute respondent No.3 in complaint case under Sections 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC filed by the petitioner.

In the reply filed by respondent No.3, it has been stated that in terms of the order dated 3.11.2004 passed in Crl. Misc. No.M-30362 of 2014, titled as Hazari Lal and ors. vs. State of Punjab and another , the said criminal complaint including the summoning order dated 9.11.2004 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom were quashed on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

In view of the above, this writ petition has become
infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

3.11.2015
dinesh