

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.13226 of 2015

Date of Decision: 06.07.2015

Vikram Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The claim of the petitioner, in the present petition is that various posts for Post Graduate Teachers (PGT) – H.E.S. (Group-B services) including 275 posts of PGT History were advertised vide advertisement No.1/2012 and out of total 275 posts, 171 posts were meant for general category.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner applied for the said post against the general category and he appeared for the written test as well as for the interview. A list of cut off marks of all the candidates was displayed on the website of the respondent-department and the same was even downloaded by the petitioner. The cut-off marks for PGT History (Category No.17) under General Category has been shown as 53.81 but in the merit list, the name of the petitioner has not been shown, whereas, the cut off marks of the last candidate, who was selected, was also the same as that of the present petitioner i.e 53.81. The petitioner made a representation and ultimately, he served a legal notice dated

27.11.2014 (wrongly mentioned in the petition as 27.01.2014) upon the respondent but no action has been taken thereupon so far. Learned counsel further submits that neither any reason has been conveyed to the petitioner nor any order has been passed on the legal notice. Learned counsel also submits that even no waiting list has been prepared and the petitioner is not aware as to whether the names of certain persons, like the petitioner, have been mentioned in the waiting list or not. Such list has not been put on the website or displayed on the notice board. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to the respondent to decide the legal notice within some stipulated period.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to consider the legal notice dated 27.11.2014 and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law.

The necessary speaking order be passed within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

06.07.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE