

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.19582 of 2012
Date of Decision: March 26, 2015

Sanden Vikas Employees Union, Faridabad

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Ravi Pratap Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Mr.R.N.Singhal, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

AMIT RAWAL, J.(Oral)

Mr.R.N.Singhal, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3 submits that the claim of the petitioner has been redressed as the Labour Department has been directed to enter into a reference.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The position was not different on the last date of hearing, i.e., 10.10.2014.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for revival of the petition in case the statement made by Mr.Singhal is found to be incorrect.

March 26, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE