

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13189-2015(O&M)

Date of Decision : 17.07.2015

AMIT KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim in the present petition is for appointment to the post of driver. Learned counsel states that in this connection the petitioner has got issued a legal notice dated 10.09.2014(Annexure P-4) and at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice Annexure P-4 by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Miscellaneous Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

July 17, 2015
sunita