

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.1655 of 2006 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.8.2015

Smt. Sudesh Sharma

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: None for the landowner(s).

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL, J

This order will dispose of a bunch of appeals bearing RFA Nos.1655 to 1661, 1832 and 1833 of 2006, as common questions of law and facts are involved therein.

By filing appeals, the landowner(s) are seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

Brief facts of the case are that the State of Haryana vide notification dated 26.4.1995, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short "the Act") sought to acquire land measuring 38.49 acres situated within the revenue estate of village Maheshpur, Hadbast No.363 and 6.59 acres of land of village Fatehpur, Hadbast No.367, Tehsil and District Panchkula, for development and utilisation thereof as residential area for Sector 21 (Part), Panchkula. Notification under Section 6 of the Act was issued on 25.4.1996. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') vide award dated 25.6.1996 assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 4,00,000/- per acre for both the villages. The landowner(s) feeling dissatisfied with the award of the Collector, filed objections. Considering the material placed on record, the learned court below vide its award dated 30.4.2005, determined the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 350/- per square yard. This award has been impugned by the landowner(s) before this Court.

None has appeared for the landowner(s).

Learned counsel for the State very fairly submitted that the issue raised in the present set of appeals is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in RFA No.2695 of 2002-- Ashok Kumar v. The State of Haryana, decided on 5.11.2012, whereby compensation for the land acquired vide same notification, was further enhanced.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Ashok Kumar's case (supra), the present appeals are disposed of in the same terms.

As none has appeared for the appellant(s), copy of the order be sent to the appellant(s).

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

25.8.2015

sharmila