

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
16:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No. 16328 of 2013
Date of decision : March 23, 2015

Bohati Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, AAG., Haryana
for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, AAG., Haryana informed that vide order dated 8.9.2011 an amount of ₹37,906/- had already been paid to the petitioner, therefore no cause survive in the present writ petition.

Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not dispute this fact.

The writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 23, 2015
archana