

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21969 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision:03/11/2015

Dr. Shalini Gandhi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Aman Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, A.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Vikas Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 7.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking a direction to the official respondents to take action against the private respondents for alleged sexual harassment by them towards the petitioner.

A perusal of the record reveals that the complaint of the petitioner against the private respondents was referred to the Sexual Harassment of Women Society, Office of Director General Health Services, Haryana (for short "the Society").

On consideration of the matter, the Society was of the opinion that the complaint made by the petitioner was an administrative dispute and not in the nature of a complaint of sexual harassment.

The order passed by the Society has been placed on record as Annexure R-1 which renders the present petition infructuous and it is disposed of as such.

If the petitioner is still aggrieved against the aforementioned final order passed by the society, if so advised, she may avail of her remedies in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

03.11.2015
rajeev