

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 16275 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : August 03, 2015

Ex. Naik Ajaib Singh,

..... Petitioner

v.

Union of India and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rajesh Goel, Advocate
for Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for Mr. Amit Sheoran, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Akshay Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Counsel for respondent No.3 has argued that in CWP No.10211 of 2012, Tarsem Lal vs UOI and others, decided on 30.03.2015, this Court held that the Army Group Insurance Fund being run by the Society is not amenable to writ jurisdiction.

Counsel for the petitioner has accepted that while deciding the aforesaid case, a Division Bench decision of this Court in Balwan Singh Madad v. Union of India and others, CWP No.18374 of 2005 decided on

18.04.2006 was relied upon but he has argued that the said Division Bench did not lay down the correct law.

In my opinion, this argument cannot be raised before a Single Judge. Consequently, this writ petition is dismissed.

August 03, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE