

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13 of 2015
Date of Decision.05.02.2015**

Tajinder Pal Singh	Versus	Petitioner
State of Punjab and others		Respondents

Present: Mr. Kehar Singh Hissowal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petition seeking for consideration of his representation to elicit the reason why there was a delay in publication of results would secure nothing to the petitioner. For whatever reason, if there is a delay and the petitioner has been put to loss on account of the same, the only legal consequence that could entail is a claim for damages. Such claim cannot be made in writ petition. There is not even a prayer for such a course. The relief sought for in the writ petition is meaningless and the petitioner may escalate his own claim for damages taking that the respondents are not prepared to give appropriate answer to the representation which he has already made.
2. The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner prosecute his claim in the manner referred to above.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**February 05, 2015
Pankaj***