

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 19353 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.04.2015

Dhian Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks to issue directions to the respondents to re-grant retrenchee and special increments given to him, which has been withdrawn without giving any show cause notice and hearing and to grant revised pension, other pensionary benefits and special increments @ 12% per annum.

The learned counsel contends that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner had served legal notice (Annexure P-1). He states that he will be satisfied in case the authorities are directed to decide the claim of the petitioner in terms of law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **State of Punjab and others** Vs. **Tarlok Chand and others**, in Civil Appeal No. 6540 of 2014.

Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, without

adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority, to consider the claim of the petitioner in terms of Tarlok Chand's case (supra), by passing a speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

20.04.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE