

CWP No.16191 of 2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16191 of 2013

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Hardwari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

(2)

CWP No.16193 of 2013

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Mahender & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

(3)

CWP No.16194 of 2013

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Rajpal & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

(4)

CWP No.16195 of 2013

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Dharambir & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

(5)

CWP No.16196 of 2013

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Ishwar Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CWP No.16191 of 2013

(6)

CWP No.16197 of 2013

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Jagdish & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

(7)

CWP No.16198 of 2013

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Ram Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondent

(8)

CWP No.16199 of 2013

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Sant Lal & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Amandeep Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. D.V. Sharma, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Shivani Sharma, Advocate
for respondent-HUDA.

S. J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

By this order, we shall dispose of CWP Nos.16191, 16193,
16194, 16195, 16196, 16197, 16198 and 16199 of 2013. On the request of
learned counsel for the parties, facts have been taken from CWP No.16191 of

CWP No.16191 of 2013

2. The petitioner has challenged a notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short 'the Act') issued on 12th of June, 2012 (Annexure P-2) as also notification under Section 6 of the Act issued on 10th of June, 2013 (Annexure P-5). Only in one of the petitions, i.e. CWP No.16194 of 2013, petitioners have filed objections under Section 5-A of the Act.

3. The only contention raised before us is that no useful purpose would be served by the acquisition. It is contended that the acquisition is for the purpose of putting up two sub-power stations which would be harmful to the occupants of the tenements in the adjoining villages.

4. Our attention has, however, not been invited to any provision of law which prohibits or prevents construction of any sub-power station. Further, the persons affected or who are likely to be affected by the same, can always challenge the same. They are not before us. They have not raised any objection to the proposed project except the petitioners in CWP No.16194 of 2013.

5. In these circumstances, we are unable to interfere with the acquisition which is admittedly for a public purpose.

6. Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed.

(S. J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

January 08, 2015
Harish