

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No. 19270 of 2012

DATE OF DECISION: 03.08.2015

Zile Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate  
for Mr. D.S. Rawat, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.**

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the arrears of 38 months has been released to the petitioners and the present petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has also affirmed the factum of having received arrears of 38 months.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is dismissed as having become infructuous.

August 03, 2015  
pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**