

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

Civil Writ Petition No.12855 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 02, 2015

Smt. Guddi Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate
for Mr. Ajay Shekhawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that the husband of the petitioner, who was a labourer, died because of the accident, which took place because of the negligence and inaction on the part of the respondents and, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to the compensation, which has been claimed by her through a legal notice dated 21.08.2014 (Annexure P-7) served upon respondents 2 to 4. He contends that the claim of the petitioner is covered by the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as ***Raman Versus Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others, 2015(1) R.C.R. (Civil) 353***. The petitioner is thus entitled to the benefit but till date no decision on the legal notice served by the petitioner has been taken or conveyed to her.

Counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondents 2 to 4, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 21.08.2014 (Annexure P-7) within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Superintending Engineer, Transmission System, HVPNL, Circle Rohtak, near ITI, Rohtak, Haryana; Executive Engineer, Transmission System, HVPNL, Circle Rohtak, near ITI, Rohtak, Haryana and Sub Divisional Officer, HVPNL, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, Haryana-respondents 2 to 4 respectively, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 21.08.2014 (Annexure P-7), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to her, in accordance with law, within a further period of one month. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to her forthwith.

July 02, 2015

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**