

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 12848 of 2015
Date of decision July 2, 2015

Pawan Pratap Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Anand Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (Oral).

The petitioner has been constrained to approach this Court on the premise that in pursuance to the advertisement dated 7.6.2012 whereby Haryana School Teachers Selection Board, Haryana advertised some posts of PGT Physics and one-time exemption was also given to the candidates who had four years teaching experience. Since the petitioner applied online in pursuance to the advertisement as he had four years teaching experience, accordingly, appeared for the interview and scrutiny of documents. On scrutiny of the documents he was declared successful as his name appeared at S.No.426 of the merit list.

Vide letter dated 19.11.2013 (Annexure P-6) the

Director Secondary Education, Haryana issued a directive to all the District Education Officers to enquire into the experience certificate issued by various private/aided schools/as guest teachers. Owing to such direction, enquiry was made and the respondent-authorities found that there has been some doubt with regard to the authenticity of the attendance register. Accordingly, the petitioner was issued a show cause notice dated 21.6.2014 whereby he was called upon to explain in this regard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had been given a personal hearing on 21.7.2014 and thereafter nothing has been heard from the respondents whereas the other persons have already been issued appointment letters. In this regard petitioner has already submitted Legal Notice dated 18.4.2015 (Annexure P-12) but no action has been taken.

It is strange that the respondents after affording a personal hearing way back on 21.7.2014 have not communicated any decision thereon. The authorities are expected to act diligently to pass an order in accordance with law.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by issuing a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the case of the petitioner as indicated in the Legal Notice dated 18.4.2015 (Annexure P-12) and pass a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this case.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted then consequential benefits, if any, be given to the petitioner within a

further period of two months.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

July 2, 2015
archana