

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.12833 of 2015

Date of Decision : 02.07.2015

Sh. Jai Bhagwan

..... Petitioner

Versus

HVPNL and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. J.K. Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is seeking direction to the respondent-authorities to regularize his services w.e.f. 23.03.1996 in terms of the policy.

Learned counsel states that normally he would have prayed for a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice by passing a speaking order in such situation but in many similar cases where such a course was followed the legal notice was rejected on extremely vague and superfluous grounds.

In my opinion, notwithstanding this argument it would be

appropriate if the respondent No.2 first decide the legal notice (Annexure P-15) by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Ordered accordingly.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 02, 2015
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE